

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis X Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected	
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number SPS 320, Uniform Dwelling Code, Administration and enforcement	
3. Subject Electronic Notification	
4. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(2)(j)
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Cost	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)	
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The proposed rule revisions would allow an additional means for delivery of notifications of compliance or non-compliance with the Uniform Dwelling Code.	
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Representatives of the following: building contractors engaged in construction of housing; building inspectors; the construction material supply industry; and remodeling contractors engaged in the remodeling of housing	
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.	
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected.	
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Inspectors would have an additional means of delivering notifications regarding code compliance and contractors or owners would have an additional means of receiving notifications regarding code compliance, adding to flexibility in the compliance process. The alternative would be to continue to require written notifications to be posted at the job site.	
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Creating efficiencies and keeping up with technology for the inspectors and contractors with regard to delivery of notifications of compliance or non-compliance with the code.	
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.	
17. Contact Name Dan Smith	18. Contact Phone Number 608-261-4463